

Kummer Theory for Number Fields

Antonella Perucca, Pietro Sgobba and Sebastiano Tronto

University of Luxembourg

Benasque, 2019-05-23

- Let K a number field, $G \leq K^\times$ torsion-free, $r = \text{rank } G < \infty$.

- Let K a number field, $G \leq K^\times$ torsion-free, $r = \text{rank } G < \infty$.
- For any M and N with $N \mid M$ consider the extension

$$K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G} \right).$$

- Let K a number field, $G \leq K^\times$ torsion-free, $r = \text{rank } G < \infty$.
- For any M and N with $N \mid M$ consider the extension

$$K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G} \right).$$

- Want to compute $\deg_G(M, N) = \left[K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G} \right) : K(\zeta_M) \right]$.

- Let K a number field, $G \leq K^\times$ torsion-free, $r = \text{rank } G < \infty$.
- For any M and N with $N \mid M$ consider the extension

$$K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G} \right).$$

- Want to compute $\deg_G(M, N) = \left[K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G} \right) : K \left(\zeta_M \right) \right]$.
- **Intuition:** $\deg_G(M, N) = N^r$.

- Let K a number field, $G \leq K^\times$ torsion-free, $r = \text{rank } G < \infty$.
- For any M and N with $N \mid M$ consider the extension

$$K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[r]{G} \right).$$

- Want to compute $\deg_G(M, N) = \left[K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[r]{G} \right) : K(\zeta_M) \right]$.
- **Intuition:** $\deg_G(M, N) = N^r$.
- **Reality:** $\deg_G(M, N)$ divides N^r .

Example

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $G = \langle 2^5 \rangle$, $N = M = 5$.

Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, \sqrt[5]{\langle 2^5 \rangle}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, so $\deg_G(5, 5) = 1$.

Example

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $G = \langle 2^5 \rangle$, $N = M = 5$.

Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, \sqrt[5]{\langle 2^5 \rangle}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, so $\deg_G(5, 5) = 1$.

Example

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $G = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$, $N = 2$, $M = 10$.

Since $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})$, we have $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10}, \sqrt{\langle 3, 5 \rangle}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10}, \sqrt{3})$, so $\deg_G(2, 10) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})] = 2$.

Definition

The **failure of maximality** at (M, N) is

$$C(M, N) := \frac{N^r}{\left[K\left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G}\right) : K\left(\zeta_M\right) \right]}$$

Definition

The **failure of maximality** at (M, N) is

$$C(M, N) := \frac{N^r}{\left[K \left(\zeta_M, \sqrt[N]{G} \right) : K \left(\zeta_M \right) \right]}$$

Theorem (direct proof in Perucca, Sgobba (2018))

There is a constant $C_0 \geq 1$, depending only on K and G , such that for all integers N, M with $N \mid M$ the integer $C(M, N)$ divides C_0 .

Failure of Maximality

Elementary field theory shows

$$C(M, N) = \prod_{\ell \mid N} \frac{\ell^{nr}}{\underbrace{\left[K(\zeta_{\ell^n}, \sqrt[\ell^n]{G}) : K(\zeta_{\ell^n}) \right]}_{A_\ell(N) = C(\ell^n, \ell^n)}} \underbrace{\left[K(\zeta_{\ell^n}, \sqrt[\ell^n]{G}) \cap K(\zeta_M) : K(\zeta_{\ell^n}) \right]}_{B_\ell(M, N)}$$

where $n = v_\ell(N)$.

Failure of Maximality

Elementary field theory shows

$$C(M, N) = \prod_{\ell \mid N} \frac{\ell^{nr}}{\underbrace{\left[K\left(\zeta_{\ell^n}, \sqrt[\ell^n]{G}\right) : K\left(\zeta_{\ell^n}\right) \right]}_{A_\ell(N) = C(\ell^n, \ell^n)}} \underbrace{\left[K\left(\zeta_{\ell^n}, \sqrt[\ell^n]{G}\right) \cap K\left(\zeta_M\right) : K\left(\zeta_{\ell^n}\right) \right]}_{B_\ell(M, N)}$$

where $n = v_\ell(N)$.

Definition

We call $A_\ell(N)$ the **ℓ -adic failure** and $B_\ell(M, N)$ the **ℓ -adic failure**.

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

Theorem (direct proof in Perucca, Sgobba (2018))

There exists a constant α_ℓ , depending only on ℓ , K and G , such that $A_\ell(N) \mid \alpha_\ell$ for all N .

Moreover, $\alpha_\ell = 1$ for all but finitely many primes ℓ .

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- For simplicity, let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell \neq 2$.

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- For simplicity, let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell \neq 2$.
- Let $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a basis for $G \leq \mathbb{Q}^\times$.

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- For simplicity, let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell \neq 2$.
- Let $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a basis for $G \leq \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- Write $g_i = b_i^{\ell^{d_i}}$ with $b_i \notin (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^\ell$.

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- For simplicity, let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell \neq 2$.
- Let $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a basis for $G \leq \mathbb{Q}^\times$.
- Write $g_i = b_i^{\ell^{d_i}}$ with $b_i \notin (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^\ell$.

Theorem (Debry, Perucca (2016))

There exists a basis maximizing $d = \sum d_i$. For all bases maximizing d , the d_i 's are the same up to reordering.

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- We have

$$A_\ell(N) = \ell^s \quad \text{where } s = \sum_i \min(v_\ell(N), d_i).$$

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- We have

$$A_\ell(N) = \ell^s \quad \text{where } s = \sum_i \min(v_\ell(N), d_i).$$

- In particular for $v_\ell(N) \geq d_\ell := \max d_i$ we have $s = \sum_i d_i$.

The ℓ -adic Failure $A_\ell(N)$

- We have

$$A_\ell(N) = \ell^s \quad \text{where } s = \sum_i \min(v_\ell(N), d_i).$$

- In particular for $v_\ell(N) \geq d_\ell := \max d_i$ we have $s = \sum_i d_i$.
- So $A_\ell(N) = A_\ell(\gcd(N, \ell^{d_\ell}))$.

The ℓ -adelic Failure $B_\ell(M, N)$

$$B_\ell(M, N) = \left[K \left(\zeta_{\ell^n}, \sqrt[n]{G} \right) \cap K(\zeta_M) : K(\zeta_{\ell^n}) \right] \text{ for } n = v_2(N).$$

Theorem (Perucca, Sgobba (2018))

There exists a constant β_ℓ , depending only on ℓ , K and G , such that $B_\ell(M, N) \mid \beta_\ell$ for all M and N .

Moreover, $\beta_\ell = 1$ for all but finitely many primes ℓ (for example when $\zeta_\ell \notin K$).

The ℓ -adelic Failure $B_\ell(M, N)$

- Assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and, for simplicity, that $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.

The l -adic Failure $B_l(M, N)$

- Assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and, for simplicity, that $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.
- **Problem:** $\sqrt{g} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ for some d .

The l -adic Failure $B_l(M, N)$

- Assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and, for simplicity, that $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.
- **Problem:** $\sqrt{g} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ for some d .
- The intersection $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n}, \sqrt[2^n]{G}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$ equals

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n}, \sqrt{h_1}, \dots, \sqrt{h_s}), \quad h_1, \dots, h_s \text{ squarefree integers.}$$

The ℓ -adic Failure $B_\ell(M, N)$

- Assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and, for simplicity, that $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.
- **Problem:** $\sqrt{g} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ for some d .
- The intersection $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n}, \sqrt[2^n]{G}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$ equals

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^n}, \sqrt{h_1}, \dots, \sqrt{h_s}), \quad h_1, \dots, h_s \text{ squarefree integers.}$$

- We can compute h_1, \dots, h_s , and thus $B_\ell(M, N)$.

Theorem (Perucca, Sgobba, Tronto (2019))

There are explicitly computable integers M_0 and N_0 such that

$$C(M, N) = C(\gcd(M, M_0), \gcd(N, N_0))$$

for all M and N .

Theorem (Perucca, Sgobba, Tronto (2019))

There are explicitly computable integers M_0 and N_0 such that

$$C(M, N) = C(\gcd(M, M_0), \gcd(N, N_0))$$

for all M and N .

Moreover, there is a **concrete** and **efficient** algorithm to compute these degrees for all M and N .

Effective Results

```
sage: G = [-2^3, (2/3)^27, -1/5]
```

```
sage: TotalKummerFailure(G)
```

```
M_0 = 120
```

```
N_0 = 216
```

-		1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	12	15	20	24	30	40	60	120
-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2		1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	4	2	4	4	8
3		9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
4		1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	4	1	4	4	8
6		9	9	9	9	9	18	18	9	18	9	18	36	18	36	36	72
8		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	2	8
9		27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
12		9	9	9	9	9	9	18	9	18	9	18	36	9	36	36	72
18		27	27	27	27	27	54	54	27	54	27	54	108	54	108	108	216
24		18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	36	18	36	18	72
27		81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81
36		27	27	27	27	27	27	54	27	54	27	54	108	27	108	108	216
54		81	81	81	81	81	162	162	81	162	81	162	324	162	324	324	648
72		54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	54	108	54	108	54	216
108		81	81	81	81	81	81	162	81	162	81	162	324	81	324	324	648
216		162	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	162	324	162	324	162	648



UNIVERSITÉ DU
LUXEMBOURG

Thank you for your attention!